

REMARKS

Entry of this amendment and reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

It is respectfully submitted that the amendment to claim 28 overcomes the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejection of that claim.

Claims 24-36, 41 and 46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Pejsa. Claims 24-27 and 31-39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Behr. Claim 40 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly obvious over the combination of Behr and Pejsa. Claims 41 and 42 were rejected as allegedly obvious over the combination of Pejsa in view of Lumalampen. Claims 43 and 44 were rejected as allegedly obvious over Pejsa, Behr and Giannoni. Claim 45 was rejected as allegedly obvious over the combination of Pejsa and Behr in view of Winter. Applicants respectfully traverse each of these rejections.

Claim 24 has been amended to recite that the bullet core and the penetrator not fastened therewith are enclosed completely by the jacket of the bullet lying entirely on its periphery. This feature distinguishes from Lumalampen, in which the bullet jacket 1 is not completely in contact with the core 2 but supports it only over a small part of the area.

As to Pejsa, in view of the slight size of the "insert 30" one can hardly speak of a core in the true sense. It corresponds rather to the massive point 23 of the embodiment of the present application in Figure 3. Only the margin of the point is enveloped by the jacket of the bullet, and most of it sticks in the core. Thus, the claimed invention differs from Pejsa.

By referring to the fact that the two cores are not fixedly joined together, the bullet of the invention differs from the Behr bullet wherein the point (d) can be screwed by means of a thread on the pin (e) into the bore (c). That only a tight connection can be provided between the tip and the bullet is obvious to the practitioner of the art, because in the case of a loose plug-in type connection, the bullet could no longer be handled because the tip would slip uncontrolled out of the cartridge during loading.


Furthermore, none of the references cited by the examiner is the shape of the nose of the bullet core and the tail thereof individually adapted to the desired disintegration qualities, as set forth in claim 25. The shape of the nose and tail of the cores of the bullet of Lumalampan according to Figures 2 to 4 serves only for better centering of the bullet core in the rear core, but not as a measure exercising influence on the disintegration of the core.

In view of the foregoing, allowance is respectfully requested.

If any fees are due to enter this amendment or to maintain pendency of this application, authorization is given to charge such fees to deposit amount no. 50-0624.

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P.



James R. Crawford
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 39,155

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P.
666 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10103
(212) 318-3148